

Spectra of the neighbourhood corona of two graphs

Xiaogang Liu and Sanming Zhou

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

The University of Melbourne

Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

xiaogliu@student.unimelb.edu.au, smzhou@ms.unimelb.edu.au

Abstract

Given simple graphs G_1 and G_2 , the neighbourhood corona of G_1 and G_2 , denoted $G_1 \star G_2$, is the graph obtained by taking one copy of G_1 and $|V(G_1)|$ copies of G_2 , and joining the neighbours of the i th vertex of G_1 to every vertex in the i th copy of G_2 . In this paper we determine the adjacency spectrum of $G_1 \star G_2$ for arbitrary G_1 and G_2 , and the Laplacian spectrum and signless Laplacian spectrum of $G_1 \star G_2$ for regular G_1 and arbitrary G_2 , in terms of the corresponding spectrum of G_1 and G_2 . The results on the adjacency and signless Laplacian spectra enable us to construct new pairs of A -cospectral or Q -cospectral graphs. As applications of the results on the Laplacian spectra, we give constructions of new families of expander graphs from known ones by using neighbourhood coronae.

Keywords: Spectrum, Cospectral graphs, Neighbourhood corona, Expander graphs

AMS Subject Classification (2010): 05C50

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are undirected and simple. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. The *adjacency matrix* of G , denoted by $A(G)$, is the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is 1 if v_i and v_j are adjacent in G and 0 otherwise. Denote by $d_i = d_G(v_i)$ the degree of v_i in G , and define $D(G)$ to be the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$. The *Laplacian matrix* of G and the *signless Laplacian matrix* of G are defined as $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ and $Q(G) = D(G) + A(G)$, respectively. Given an $n \times n$ matrix M , denote by

$$\phi(M; x) = \det(xI - M),$$

or simply $\phi(M)$, the characteristic polynomial of M , where I is the identity matrix with the same size as M . In particular, for a graph G , we call $\phi(A(G))$ (respectively, $\phi(L(G))$, $\phi(Q(G))$) the *adjacency* (respectively, *Laplacian*, *signless Laplacian*) *characteristic polynomial* of G , and its roots the *adjacency* (respectively, *Laplacian*, *signless Laplacian*) *eigenvalues* of G . Denote the eigenvalues of $A(G)$, $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(G) &\geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(G), \\ \mu_1(G) &\leq \mu_2(G) \leq \dots \leq \mu_n(G), \\ \nu_1(G) &\leq \nu_2(G) \leq \dots \leq \nu_n(G). \end{aligned}$$

(Note that $\mu_1(G) = 0$.) The collection of eigenvalues of $A(G)$ together with their multiplicities are called the *A-spectrum* of G . Two graphs are said to be *A-cospectral* if they have the same *A-spectrum*. Similar terminology will be used for $L(G)$ and $Q(G)$. So we can speak of *L-spectrum*, *Q-spectrum*, *L-cospectral graphs* and *Q-cospectral graphs*. It is well known that graph spectra store a lot of structural information about a graph; see [2, 4, 5] and the references therein.

The *corona* of two graphs was first introduced by Frucht and Harary in [7] with the goal of constructing a graph whose automorphism group is the wreath product of the two component automorphism groups. Since then a number of papers on graph-theoretic properties of coronae have appeared. As far as eigenvalues are concerned, the *A-spectra*, *L-spectra* and *Q-spectra* of the corona of any two graphs can be expressed by that of the two factor graphs [1, 3, 13, 16]. Similarly, the *A-spectrum*, *L-spectrum* and *Q-spectrum* of the edge corona [10] of two graphs, which is a variant of the corona operation, were completely computed in [3, 10, 16].

Another variant of the corona operation was introduced in [8] recently. Given two graphs G_1 and G_2 on n_1 and n_2 vertices, respectively, the *neighbourhood corona* of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 \star G_2$, is the graph obtained by taking one copy of G_1 and n_1 copies of G_2 , all vertex-disjoint, and joining every neighbour of the i th vertex of G_1 to every vertex in the i th copy of G_2 by a new edge. In [8, Theorem 2.1], the *A-spectrum* of $G_1 \star G_2$ for an arbitrary graph G_1 and a regular graph G_2 , was given in terms of the *A-spectra* of G_1 and G_2 . In the same paper, the author also gave [8, Theorem 3.1] the *L-spectrum* of $G_1 \star G_2$ in terms of that of G_1 and G_2 , for a regular G_1 and an arbitrary G_2 .

Motivated by the work above, in the first part of this paper (section 2), we will first determine the *A-spectrum* of $G_1 \star G_2$ for *arbitrary* graphs G_1 and G_2 in terms of that of G_1 and G_2 (see Theorem 2.1); this generalises [8, Theorem 2.1]. Second, we will determine the *Q-spectrum* of $G_1 \star G_2$ for a regular graph G_1 and an arbitrary graph G_2 (see Theorem 2.5). Thirdly, we notice that the proof of [8, Theorem 3.1] about the *L-spectrum* of $G_1 \star G_2$ is incorrect, although the result itself is correct. We will give another proof of this result by using a different approach (see Theorem 2.9 and Corollary 2.10). As we will see in Corollaries 2.4 and 2.8, our results on *A-spectra* and *Q-spectra* enable us to construct many pairs of *A-cospectral* and *Q-cospectral* graphs, respectively.

Expanders are sparse but highly connected graphs (see section 3 for a rigorous definition). It is well known [9] that expander graphs have many applications in a diversity of disciplines, including computer science, coding theory, cryptography, communication networks, complexity theory, derandomization, Markov chains, statistical mechanics, etc. In most applications there is a need to explicitly construct a family of expander graphs. Because of this, since the first explicit construction [15], there has been an extensive body of research (see the survey papers [9, 12]) on explicit construction of expander families from scratch, which is the main theme in this area.

We notice that neighbourhood coronae can be used to construct new families of expander graphs from known ones. As applications of the results on the *L-spectra* of neighbourhood coronae, we will give such constructions in the second part of this paper (section 3); see Theorem 3.2, Constructions 3.3 and 3.5, and Examples 3.4 and 3.6. Though not the main focus of research in the area of expanders, constructing more families of expanders from existing ones helps expand our base of expander families.

2 Spectra of neighbourhood coronae

In this section, we determine the spectra of neighbourhood coronae with the help of the *coronal* of a matrix. The M -coronal $\Gamma_M(x)$ of a square matrix M is defined [3, 13] to be the sum of the entries of the matrix $(xI_n - M)^{-1}$, that is,

$$\Gamma_M(x) = \mathbf{1}_n^T (xI_n - M)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_n,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_n$ denotes the column vector of size n with all the entries equal one.

The *Kronecker product* $A \otimes B$ of two matrices $A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n}$ and $B = (b_{ij})_{p \times q}$ is the $mp \times nq$ matrix obtained from A by replacing each element a_{ij} by $a_{ij}B$. This is an associative operation with the property that $(A \otimes B)^T = A^T \otimes B^T$ and $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$ whenever the products AC and BD exist. The latter implies $(A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1}$ for nonsingular matrices A and B . Moreover, if A and B are $n \times n$ and $p \times p$ matrices, then $\det(A \otimes B) = (\det A)^p (\det B)^n$. The reader is referred to [11] for other properties of the Kronecker product not mentioned here.

Let G_1 and G_2 be arbitrary graphs on n_1 and n_2 vertices, respectively. Following [8], we first label the vertices of $G_1 \star G_2$ as follows. Let $V(G_1) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n_1}\}$ and $V(G_2) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n_2}\}$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$, let $u_1^i, u_2^i, \dots, u_{n_2}^i$ denote the vertices of the i th copy of G_2 , with the understanding that u_j^i is the copy of u_j for each j . Denote

$$W_j = \{u_j^1, u_j^2, \dots, u_j^{n_1}\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2.$$

Then $V(G_1) \cup W_1 \cup W_2 \cup \dots \cup W_{n_2}$ is a partition of $V(G_1 \star G_2)$. It is clear that the degrees of the vertices of $G_1 \star G_2$ are:

$$d_{G_1 \star G_2}(v_i) = (n_2 + 1)d_{G_1}(v_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1 \quad (2.1)$$

$$d_{G_1 \star G_2}(u_j^i) = d_{G_2}(u_j) + d_{G_1}(v_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2. \quad (2.2)$$

2.1 A-spectra of neighbourhood coronae

Theorem 2.1. *Let G_1, G_2 be arbitrary graphs on $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$ vertices, respectively. Then*

$$\phi(A(G_1 \star G_2); x) = (\phi(A(G_2); x))^{n_1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (x - \lambda_i(G_1) - \Gamma_{A(G_2)}(x) \lambda_i(G_1)^2).$$

Proof. With respect to the partition $V(G_1) \cup W_1 \cup W_2 \cup \dots \cup W_{n_2}$ of $V(G_1 \star G_2)$, the adjacency matrix of $G_1 \star G_2$ can be written as

$$A(G_1 \star G_2) = \begin{bmatrix} A(G_1) & \mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1) \\ (\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1))^T & A(G_2) \otimes I_{n_1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus the adjacency characteristic polynomial of $G_1 \star G_2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A(G_1 \star G_2)) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} xI_{n_1} - A(G_1) & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1) \\ -(\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1))^T & xI_{n_1 n_2} - A(G_2) \otimes I_{n_1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} xI_{n_1} - A(G_1) & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1) \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n_2} \otimes A(G_1) & (xI_{n_2} - A(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det((xI_{n_2} - A(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1}) \cdot \det(S), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S = xI_{n_1} - A(G_1) - (\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1)) ((xI_{n_2} - A(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1})^{-1} (\mathbf{1}_{n_2} \otimes A(G_1))$$

is the Schur complement [17] of $(xI_{n_2} - A(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1}$. The result follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \det((xI_{n_2} - A(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1}) &= (\det(xI_{n_2} - A(G_2)))^{n_1} (\det(I_{n_1}))^{n_2} \\ &= (\phi(A(G_2)))^{n_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \det(S) &= \det \left(xI_{n_1} - A(G_1) - (\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1)) ((xI_{n_2} - A(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1})^{-1} (\mathbf{1}_{n_2} \otimes A(G_1)) \right) \\ &= \det \left(xI_{n_1} - A(G_1) - \left(\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T (xI_{n_2} - A(G_2))^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{n_2} \right) A(G_1)^2 \right) \\ &= \det \left(xI_{n_1} - A(G_1) - \Gamma_{A(G_2)}(x) A(G_1)^2 \right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (x - \lambda_i(G_1) - \Gamma_{A(G_2)}(x) \lambda_i(G_1)^2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.1 implies the following result.

Corollary 2.2. [8, Theorem 2.1] *Let G_1 be a graph on n_1 vertices and G_2 an r_2 -regular graph on n_2 vertices, where $n_1 \geq 1, n_2 \geq 2$ and $r_2 \geq 1$. Then the A -spectrum of $G_1 \star G_2$ consists of:*

- (a) $\lambda_i(G_2)$, repeated n_1 times, for each $i = 2, 3, \dots, n_2$;
- (b) two eigenvalues

$$\frac{\lambda_j(G_1) + r_2 \pm \sqrt{(\lambda_j(G_1) - r_2)^2 + 4n_2\lambda_j(G_1)}}{2}$$

for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$.

Proof. Since G_2 is r_2 -regular with n_2 vertices, by [3, 13] we have

$$\Gamma_{A(G_2)}(x) = \frac{n_2}{x - r_2}.$$

The only pole of $\Gamma_{A(G_2)}(x)$ is $x = r_2$, which is equal to $\lambda_1(G_2)$. Thus, by Theorem 2.1, for each $i = 2, 3, \dots, n_2$, $\lambda_i(G_2)$ is an eigenvalue of $G_1 \star G_2$ repeated n_1 times. The remaining $2n_1$ eigenvalues of $G_1 \star G_2$ are obtained by solving

$$x - \lambda_j(G_1) - \frac{n_2}{x - r_2} \lambda_j(G_1)^2 = 0$$

for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$, and this yields the eigenvalues in (b). □

Theorem 2.1 enables us to compute the A -spectrum of many neighbourhood coronae. In general, if we can determine the $A(G_2)$ -coronal $\Gamma_{A(G_2)}(x)$, then we are able to compute the A -spectrum of $G_1 \star G_2$. The following corollary aims to illustrate this method (the case when $p = q$ is also covered by Corollary 2.2). Denote by $K_{p,q}$ the complete bipartite graph with $p, q \geq 1$ vertices in the two parts of its bipartition.

Corollary 2.3. *Let G be a graph on $n \geq 1$ vertices, and let $p, q \geq 1$ be integers. Then the A -spectrum of $G \star K_{p,q}$ consists of:*

- (a) 0 , repeated $n(p + q - 2)$ times;
- (b) the three roots of the equation

$$x^3 - \lambda_j(G)x^2 - (pq + (p + q)\lambda_j(G)^2)x - pq\lambda_j(G)(2\lambda_j(G) - 1) = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. It is known [13] that the $A(K_{p,q})$ -coronal of $K_{p,q}$ is given by

$$\Gamma_{A(K_{p,q})}(x) = \frac{(p + q)x + 2pq}{x^2 - pq}.$$

The A -spectrum of $K_{p,q}$ [2, 5] consists of $\pm\sqrt{pq}$ with multiplicity one, and 0 with multiplicity $p + q - 2$. Since $\pm\sqrt{pq}$ are the poles of $\Gamma_{A(K_{p,q})}(x)$, the result follows from Theorem 2.1 immediately. $\square$

Remark 1. Equation (2.3) may have repeated roots for the same j . Also, for different j the corresponding equations (2.3) may have common roots. The multiplicity of each eigenvalue in (b) of Corollary 2.3 is the sum of its multiplicities (which can be 0) as a root of these equations for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

In [1, 13], many infinite families of pairs of A -cospectral graphs are generated by using the corona construction. Similarly, we can use the neighbourhood corona construction to obtain many A -cospectral graphs, as stated in the following corollary of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.4. *Let G and G' be A -cospectral graphs, and H an arbitrary graph. Then*

- (a) $G \star H$ and $G' \star H$ are A -cospectral;
- (b) $H \star G$ and $H \star G'$ are also A -cospectral provided $\Gamma_{A(G)}(x) = \Gamma_{A(G')}(x)$.

2.2 Q -spectra of neighbourhood coronae

Theorem 2.5. *Let G_1 be a r_1 -regular graph on n_1 vertices and G_2 an arbitrary graph on n_2 vertices, where $n_1 \geq 2, n_2 \geq 1$ and $r_1 \geq 1$. Then*

$$\phi(Q(G_1 \star G_2); x) = (\phi(Q(G_2); x - r_1))^{n_1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (x - n_2 r_1 - \nu_i(G_1) - \Gamma_{Q(G_2)}(x - r_1)(\nu_i(G_1) - r_1)^2).$$

Proof. We use the notation at the beginning of this section and denote by $\mathbf{0}_{n_1 \times n_1}$ the all-0 $n_1 \times n_1$ matrix. With respect to the partition $V(G_1) \cup W_1 \cup W_2 \cup \dots \cup W_{n_2}$ of $V(G_1 \star G_2)$, we have

$$D(G_1 \star G_2) = \begin{bmatrix} (n_2 + 1)D(G_1) & \mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes \mathbf{0}_{n_1 \times n_1} \\ (\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes \mathbf{0}_{n_1 \times n_1})^T & D(G_2) \otimes I_{n_1} + I_{n_2} \otimes D(G_1) \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$Q(G_1 \star G_2) = \begin{bmatrix} n_2 D(G_1) + Q(G_1) & \mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1) \\ (\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1))^T & Q(G_2) \otimes I_{n_1} + I_{n_2} \otimes D(G_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since G_1 is r_1 -regular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(Q(G_1 \star G_2)) &= \det(xI - Q(G_1 \star G_2)) \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} (x - n_2 r_1)I_{n_1} - Q(G_1) & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1) \\ -(\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1))^T & xI_{n_1 n_2} - Q(G_2) \otimes I_{n_1} - r_1 I_{n_2} \otimes I_{n_1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} (x - n_2 r_1)I_{n_1} - Q(G_1) & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1) \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n_2} \otimes A(G_1) & ((x - r_1)I_{n_2} - Q(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det(((x - r_1)I_{n_2} - Q(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1}) \cdot \det(S), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S = (x - n_2 r_1)I_{n_1} - Q(G_1) - (\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1))(((x - r_1)I_{n_2} - Q(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1})^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_{n_2} \otimes A(G_1))$$

is the Schur complement [17] of $((x - r_1)I_{n_2} - Q(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1}$. Since G_1 is r_1 -regular, we have $\lambda_i(G_1) + r_1 = \nu_{n_1+1-i}(G_1)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \det(((x - r_1)I_{n_2} - Q(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1}) &= (\det((x - r_1)I_{n_2} - Q(G_2)))^{n_1} (\det I_{n_1})^{n_2} \\ &= (\phi(Q(G_2); x - r_1))^{n_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \det(S) &= \det \left((x - n_2 r_1)I_{n_1} - Q(G_1) - \left(\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T ((x - r_1)I_{n_2} - Q(G_2))^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{n_2} \right) A(G_1)^2 \right) \\ &= \det \left((x - n_2 r_1)I_{n_1} - Q(G_1) - \Gamma_{Q(G_2)}(x - r_1) A(G_1)^2 \right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (x - n_2 r_1 - \nu_i(G_1) - \Gamma_{Q(G_2)}(x - r_1)(\nu_i(G_1) - r_1)^2). \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows immediately. $\square$

Theorem 2.5 implies that, if we can determine the $Q(G_2)$ -coronal, then we are able to compute the signless Laplacian eigenvalues of $G_1 \star G_2$. Since this happens when G_2 is regular or a complete bipartite graph, we obtain the following two corollaries.

Corollary 2.6. *Let G_1 be an r_1 -regular graph on n_1 vertices and G_2 an r_2 -regular graph on n_2 vertices, where $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$ and $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$. Then the Q -spectrum of $G_1 \star G_2$ consists of:*

- (a) $r_1 + \nu_i(G_2)$, repeated n_1 times, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_2 - 1$;
- (b) two eigenvalues which are the roots of the equation

$$x^2 - \left((n_2 + 1)r_1 + 2r_2 + \nu_j(G_1) \right)x + \left(2n_2 r_1 r_2 + (2n_2 r_1 + 2r_2 + r_1)\nu_j(G_1) - n_2 \nu_j(G_1)^2 \right) = 0$$

for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$.

Proof. Since G_2 is r_2 -regular with n_2 vertices, we have [3]

$$\Gamma_{Q(G_2)}(x) = \frac{n_2}{x - 2r_2}.$$

Thus the only pole of $\Gamma_{Q(G_2)}(x - r_1)$ is $x = r_1 + 2r_2$, and it corresponds to the maximum signless Laplacian eigenvalue $x - r_1 = 2r_2$ of G_2 . The result follows from this and Theorem 2.5. $\square$

Similarly, we can compute the Q -spectrum of $G_1 \star K_{p,q}$. (The case where $p = q$ is also covered by Corollary 2.6.)

Corollary 2.7. *Let G be an r -graph on n vertices, and let $p, q \geq 1$ be integers. Then the Q -spectrum of $G \star K_{p,q}$ consists of:*

- (a) $p + r$, repeated $n(q - 1)$ times;
- (b) $q + r$, repeated $n(p - 1)$ times;
- (c) the three roots of the equation

$$(x - r)(x - r - p - q)(x - (p + q)r - \nu_j(G)) - ((p + q)(x - r) - (p - q)^2)(\nu_j(G) - r)^2 = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. It is known [3] that the $Q(K_{p,q})$ -coronal of $K_{p,q}$ is

$$\Gamma_{Q(K_{p,q})}(x) = \frac{(p + q)x - (p - q)^2}{x^2 - (p + q)x}.$$

Thus the poles of $\Gamma_{Q(K_{p,q})}(x - r)$ are $x = r$ and $x = r + p + q$. It is known [3] that the Q -spectrum of $K_{p,q}$ consists of 0 and $p + q$ each with multiplicity one, p with multiplicity $q - 1$, and q with multiplicity $p - 1$. From these and Theorem 2.5 the result follows. $\square$

Remark 2. The multiplicity of each eigenvalue in (c) of Corollary 2.7 is the sum of its multiplicities (which can be 0) as a root of the equations (2.4) for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Theorem 2.5 enables us to construct many pairs of Q -cospectral graphs, as shown in the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. (a) *If G_1 and G_2 are Q -cospectral regular graphs, and H is any graph, then $G_1 \star H$ and $G_2 \star H$ are Q -cospectral.*

- (b) *If G is a regular graph, and H_1 and H_2 are Q -cospectral graphs with $\Gamma_{Q(H_1)}(x) = \Gamma_{Q(H_2)}(x)$, then $G \star H_1$ and $G \star H_2$ are Q -cospectral.*

2.3 L -spectra of neighbourhood coronae

The Laplacian spectrum of $G_1 \star G_2$ for a regular graph G_1 and an arbitrary graph G_2 was given in [8, Theorem 3.1]. However, the Laplacian matrix used by the author is incorrect, and this results in incorrect computation throughout the proof, although the final result is correct. In this subsection we fix this problem by giving a different computation of the Laplacian spectrum of $G_1 \star G_2$ using a different approach.

Theorem 2.9. *Let G_1 be an r_1 -regular graph on n_1 vertices and G_2 an arbitrary graph on n_2 vertices, where $n_1 \geq 2, n_2 \geq 1$ and $r_1 \geq 1$. Then*

$$\phi(L(G_1 \star G_2); x) = (\phi(L(G_2); x - r_1))^{n_1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (x - n_2 r_1 - \mu_i(G_1) - \Gamma_{L(G_2)}(x - r_1)(r_1 - \mu_i(G_1))^2).$$

Proof. Using the notation at the beginning of this section, we have

$$L(G_1 \star G_2) = \begin{bmatrix} n_2 D(G_1) + L(G_1) & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1) \\ (-\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1))^T & L(G_2) \otimes I_{n_1} + I_{n_2} \otimes D(G_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(L(G_1 \star G_2)) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} (x - n_2 r_1) I_{n_1} - L(G_1) & \mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1) \\ -(\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1))^T & x I_{n_1 n_2} - L(G_2) \otimes I_{n_1} - r_1 I_{n_2} \otimes I_{n_1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{bmatrix} (x - n_2 r_1) I_{n_1} - L(G_1) & \mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1) \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_2} \otimes A(G_1) & ((x - r_1) I_{n_2} - L(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \det(((x - r_1) I_{n_2} - L(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1}) \cdot \det(S), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S = (x - n_2 r_1) I_{n_1} - L(G_1) - (\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \otimes A(G_1)) (((x - r_1) I_{n_2} - L(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1})^{-1} (\mathbf{1}_{n_2} \otimes A(G_1))$$

is the Schur complement [17] of $((x - r_1) I_{n_2} - L(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1}$. Since G_1 is r_1 -regular, we have $\lambda_i(G_1) = r_1 - \mu_i(G_1)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \det(((x - r_1) I_{n_2} - L(G_2)) \otimes I_{n_1}) &= (\det((x - r_1) I_{n_2} - L(G_2)))^{n_1} (\det I_{n_1})^{n_2} \\ &= (\phi(L(G_2); x - r_1))^{n_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \det(S) &= \det \left((x - n_2 r_1) I_{n_1} - L(G_1) - \left(\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T ((x - r_1) I_{n_2} - L(G_2))^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{n_2} \right) A(G_1)^2 \right) \\ &= \det((x - n_2 r_1) I_{n_1} - L(G_1) - \Gamma_{L(G_2)}(x - r_1) A(G_1)^2) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (x - n_2 r_1 - \mu_i(G_1) - \Gamma_{L(G_2)}(x - r_1)(r_1 - \mu_i(G_1))^2). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows directly. $\square$

It is known [3, Proposition 2] that, if M is an $n \times n$ matrix with each row sum equal to a constant t , then $\Gamma_M(x) = n/(x - t)$. In particular, since for any graph G_2 with n_2 vertices, each row sum of $L(G_2)$ is equal to 0, we have $\Gamma_{L(G_2)}(x) = n_2/x$. From this and Theorem 2.9 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.10. [8, Theorem 3.1] *Let G_1 be an r_1 -regular graph on n_1 vertices and G_2 an arbitrary graph on n_2 vertices, where $n_1 \geq 2, n_2 \geq 1$ and $r_1 \geq 1$. Then the L -spectrum of $G_1 \star G_2$ consists of:*

- (a) $r_1 + \mu_i(G_2)$, repeated n_1 times, for each $i = 2, \dots, n_2$;
- (b) two eigenvalues

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ (n_2 + 1)r_1 + \mu_j(G_1) \pm \sqrt{((n_2 + 1)r_1 + \mu_j(G_1))^2 - 4\mu_j(G_1)((2n_2 + 1)r_1 - n_2\mu_j(G_1))} \right\},$$

for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$.

3 Application: constructing new expanders from known ones

With the help of Corollary 2.10, in this section we give methods for constructing new families of expander graphs from known ones.

In the literature, $a(G) = \mu_2(G)$ is called the *algebraic connectivity* [5] of G . An infinite family of graphs, $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$, is called a family of ε -*expander graphs* [9], where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a fixed constant, if (i) all these graphs are k -regular for a fixed integer $k \geq 3$; (ii) $a(G_i) \geq \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$; and (iii) $n_i = |V(G_i)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. (Here we use the algebraic connectivity to define expander families. This is equivalent to the usual definition by using the isoperimetric number $i(G)$, because $a(G)/2 \leq i(G) \leq \sqrt{a(G)(2\Delta - a(G))}$ [14] (see also [5, Theorem 7.5.15]) for any graph $G \neq K_1, K_2, K_3$ with maximum degree Δ .)

Given integers $n, k \geq 1$, let

$$f_{n,k}(x) = x + (n+1)k - \sqrt{(4n+1)x^2 - 2(3n+1)kx + ((n+1)k)^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

This is a well-defined real-valued function over $(-\infty, \infty)$. Define

$$\delta(n, k, \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \min\{f_{n,k}(\varepsilon), f_{n,k}(2k)\}. \quad (3.2)$$

It can be verified that $\delta(n, k, \varepsilon) > 0$ for $n, k \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a connected k -regular graph with m vertices, and $H \neq K_n$ a connected r -regular graph with n vertices. Then*

$$a(G \star H) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \min\{f_{n,k}(a(G)), f_{n,k}(\mu_m(G))\} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \min\{f_{n,k}(a(G)), f_{n,k}(2k)\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n,k}(x) &= x + (n+1)k - \sqrt{((n+1)k + x)^2 - 4x((2n+1)k - nx)} \\ &= x + (n+1)k - \sqrt{(4n+1) \left\{ \left(x - \frac{(3n+1)k}{4n+1} \right)^2 + \frac{4n^3k^2}{(4n+1)^2} \right\}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f'_{n,k}(x) = 1 - \left\{ (4n+1)x^2 - 2(3n+1)kx + ((n+1)k)^2 \right\}^{-1/2} \{ (4n+1)x - (3n+1)k \}.$$

Thus, if $x \leq x_0 = (3n+1)k/(4n+1)$, then $f'_{n,k}(x) > 0$ and so $f_{n,k}(x)$ strictly increases with x from 0 to x_0 . We now assume $x \geq x_0$. Then $f'_{n,k}(x) > 0$ if and only if

$$\{(4n+1)x - (3n+1)k\}^2 < (4n+1)x^2 - 2(3n+1)kx + ((n+1)k)^2;$$

that is,

$$(4n+1)x^2 - 2(3n+1)kx + (2n+1)k^2 < 0.$$

Since $x \geq x_0$, one can verify that this inequality holds if and only if $x < k$. In addition, $f'_{n,k}(k) = 0$, and if $k < x < (2n+1)k/n$ then $f'_{n,k}(x) < 0$. Therefore, $f_{n,k}(x)$ strictly increases with x in $[0, k]$ and strictly decreases in $[k, (2n+1)k/n]$.

Since G is k -regular, each $\mu_j(G) \leq 2k < (2n+1)k/n$. By Corollary 2.10 and what we just proved it follows that

$$a(G \star H) = \min \left\{ k + a(H), \frac{f_{n,k}(a(G))}{2}, \frac{f_{n,k}(\mu_m(G))}{2} \right\}.$$

It can be verified that $f_{n,k}(x) > 0$ when $x \in (0, (2n+1)k/n)$. Since G is connected and k -regular, we have $0 < a(G) \leq \mu_m(G) \leq 2k$ and hence $f_{n,k}(a(G)), f_{n,k}(\mu_m(G)) > 0$. Since $H \neq K_n$, by [5, Theorem 7.4.4] we have $a(H) \leq r < n-1$. It is straightforward to verify that $f_{n,k}(a(G)) \leq 2(k + a(H))$ if and only if

$$\left(na(G) - nk + \frac{a(H)}{2} \right)^2 \geq \left(na(H) - n^2k + \frac{a(H)}{4} \right) a(H).$$

Since $a(H) < n-1$, the latter inequality always holds and therefore we have proved (3.3).

Since $\sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i(G) = km$ (by considering the trace of $L(G)$), we have $\mu_m(G) \geq k$. Since $f_{n,k}(x)$ strictly decreases in $[k, (2n+1)k/n]$ and $\mu_m(G) \leq 2k$, it follows that $f_{n,k}(\mu_m(G)) \geq f_{n,k}(2k) > 0$, and therefore we have (3.4). $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$ is a family of (non-complete) k -regular ε -expander graphs, where $k \geq 3$. Let $H \neq K_n$ be a fixed connected r -regular graph on n vertices such that nk is even. Let $G_i(H)$, $i \geq 1$, be any $(n+1)k$ -regular graph obtained by adding $n(nk-r)n_i/2$ edges to $G_i \star H$, where $n_i = |V(G_i)|$. Then $G_1(H), G_2(H), G_3(H), \dots$ is a family of $\delta(n, k, \varepsilon)$ -expander graphs of degree $(n+1)k$.*

In constructing $G_i \star H$, a new edge is allowed to join two non-adjacent vertices in the same copy of H . In general, there are many ways to construct $G_i(H)$. Hence different expander families can be constructed even for the same graph H and the same input family $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$. We will give a few specific constructions later.

Proof. We apply Lemma 3.1 to the given family of expanders $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$. Since $G_i \neq K_{n_i}$ for each i , where $n_i = |V(G_i)|$, we have $a(G_i) \leq k$ by [5, Theorem 7.4.4]. Since $f_{n,k}(x)$ strictly increases in $[0, k]$ as we saw in the proof of Lemma 3.1, and since $a(G_i) \geq \varepsilon$, we have $f_{n,k}(a(G_i)) \geq f_{n,k}(\varepsilon)$. Therefore, by (3.4),

$$a(G_i \star H) \geq \delta(n, k, \varepsilon). \tag{3.5}$$

Note that the graphs $G_i \star H$ are not regular. In fact, its vertices have degrees $(n+1)k$ or $r+k$, the latter being the degrees of the vertices in copies of H . Now let us add $n(nk-r)n_i/2$ edges to $G_i \star H$ such that the resultant graph $G_i(H)$ is $(n+1)k$ -regular (and thus no new edge joins a vertex of G_i). (We allow a new edge to join two non-adjacent vertices in the same copy of H .) This is possible because $n(nk-r)$ is even (as $nr = 2|E(H)|$ and nk is even). Since $G_i \star H$ is a spanning subgraph of $G_i(H)$, and since adding edges to a graph increases or preserves the algebraic connectivity [5, Theorem 7.1.5], we have $a(G_i(H)) \geq a(G_i \star H) \geq \delta(n, k, \varepsilon)$. Therefore, by (3.5), $G_1(H), G_2(H), G_3(H), \dots$ is a family of $\delta(n, k, \varepsilon)$ -expanders. $\square$

Theorem 3.2 along with the following more explicit construction can be applied recursively to construct new families of expander graphs based on a known family.

Construction 3.3. In the case when k and r are both even, one way to construct $G_i(H)$ is as follows. Write $r = sk + t$, so that t is even, where $0 \leq t \leq k-1$. Choose U and W to be, respectively, k -regular and $(k-t)$ -regular graphs on the same vertex set $\{u_1, \dots, u_{n_i}\}$ of size n_i . (We allow U and W to have common edges.) In the definition of $G_i \star H$, let H_j denote the copy of H whose vertices are adjacent to the neighbours of the j th vertex of G_i , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$. Let us add edges to $G_i \star H$ in the following way. If u_a and u_b are adjacent in U , then we add $n(n-s-1)$ edges between $V(H_a)$ and $V(H_b)$ so that they form an $(n-s-1)$ -regular bipartite graph B_{ab} . If u_a and u_b are adjacent in W , then we add a perfect matching M_{ab} between $V(H_a)$ and $V(H_b)$. In the case when u_a and u_b are adjacent in both U and W , we add M_{ab} such that it has no common edge with B_{ab} . This is possible because the complementary bipartite graph of B_{ab} is an $(s+1)$ -regular and hence contains a perfect matching. It can be easily verified that the graph $G_i(H)$ obtained this way is $(n+1)k$ -regular. By Theorem 3.2, $G_1(H), G_2(H), G_3(H), \dots$ is a family of $\delta(n, k, \varepsilon)$ -expanders whose structure relies on the choice of U and W .

It is noteworthy that we may choose U to be isomorphic to G_i . This choice will be especially helpful when dealing with Cayley graphs in our subsequent discussion. $\square$

Given a group X and a subset $S \subseteq X \setminus \{1\}$ such that $S^{-1} = S$, the *Cayley graph* $\text{Cay}(X, S)$ is defined to have vertex set X such that $x, y \in X$ are adjacent if and only if $x^{-1}y \in S$.

Example 3.4. Let us apply Construction 3.3 to the well known Ramanujan graphs $X^{p,q}$ of Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak [6], where p, q are distinct odd primes such that $q > 2\sqrt{p}$. If p is a square modulo q , then $X^{p,q}$ is a non-bipartite $(p+1)$ -regular Cayley graph over $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ with $q(q^2-1)/2$ vertices. If p is not a square modulo q , then $X^{p,q}$ is a bipartite $(p+1)$ -regular Cayley graph over $\text{PGL}(2, q)$ with $q(q^2-1)$ vertices. It is known [6, Theorem 4.4.4] that, for any γ with $0 < \gamma < 1/6$, $a(X^{p,q}) \geq \varepsilon(\gamma) = (p+1) - p^{\frac{5}{6}+\gamma} - p^{\frac{1}{6}-\gamma}$. Thus, for a fixed odd prime p , $\{X^{p,q} : q > 2\sqrt{p} \text{ a prime}\}$ is a family of $(p+1)$ -regular $\varepsilon(\gamma)$ -expanders.

Choose a connected r -regular graph $H \neq K_n$ with n vertices, where $r \geq 2$ is even. Applying Construction 3.3 to H and any appropriately chosen U and W , we obtain a family of $(n+1)(p+1)$ -regular $\delta(n, p+1, \varepsilon(\gamma))$ -expander graphs $\{X^{p,q}(H) : q > 2\sqrt{p} \text{ a prime}\}$. Note that $X^{p,q}(H)$ has $(n+1)q(q^2-1)/2$ or $(n+1)q(q^2-1)$ vertices. $\square$

The following is another specification of Construction 3.3 for Cayley expanders.

Construction 3.5. Suppose $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$, is a family of ε -expanders such that each $G_i = \text{Cay}(X_i, S_i)$ is a Cayley graph with degree $k = |S_i| \geq 3$ and order $n_i = |X_i|$. Suppose $H = \text{Cay}(Y, T)$ is a Cayley graph with degree $r = |T| < k$ and order $n = |Y|$ such that nk is even. Then $G_i \star H$ is isomorphic to the graph defined on $X_i \times (Y \cup \{\infty\})$ such that

- (a) $(x, \infty) \sim (x', z)$ if and only if $x^{-1}x' \in S_i$ and $z \in Y \cup \{\infty\}$;
- (b) for $y \in Y$, $(x, y) \sim (x', y')$ if and only if $x = x', y' \in Y$ and $y^{-1}y' \in T$.

Choose $U = G_i$ and $W = \text{Cay}(X_i, S_0)$ for some $S_0 \subset S_i$ with $|S_0| = k - r > 0$. Add new edges to $G_i \star H$ in the following way:

- (c) add an edge joining (x, y) and (x', y') if and only if $x^{-1}x' \in S_i$ and $y \neq y'$;
- (d) add an edge joining (x, y) and (x', y) if and only if $x^{-1}x' \in S_0$.

Denote the graph obtained this way by $\hat{G}_i$. It can be verified that the adjacency relation of $\hat{G}_i$ is given by (a) and the following rule:

- (e) $(x, y) \sim (x', z)$ if and only if: $x = x'$ and $z \in Y$ such that $y^{-1}z \in T$; or $x^{-1}x' \in S_i$ and $y \neq z \in Y \cup \{\infty\}$; or $x^{-1}x' \in S_0$ and $y = z$.

By Theorem 3.2, $\hat{G}_1, \hat{G}_2, \hat{G}_3, \dots$ is a family of $\delta(n, k, \varepsilon)$ -expanders of degree $(n + 1)k$.

As a special case, we may choose $H = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{1, -1\})$ to be the cycle of length n such that nk is even. If not every element of S_i is an involution, then we can choose $s_i \in S_i$ to be a non-involution and set $S_0 = S_i \setminus \{s_i, s_i^{-1}\}$. In this case, the relation (e) reads as follows: $(x, y) \sim (x, y \pm 1)$ (where the operation on the second coordinate is modulo n); $(x, y) \sim (x', z)$ if and only if $x^{-1}x' \in S_i$, and either $y \neq z \in \mathbb{Z}_n \cup \{\infty\}$, or $y = z$ but $x^{-1}x' \neq s_i, s_i^{-1}$. $\square$

Since many known families of expanders are Cayley graphs [9, 12], Construction 3.5 can be used to prove existence and give constructions of many expander families of various degrees based on known Cayley expanders. The following example illustrates this methodology.

Example 3.6. Let $a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, and let $S_m = \{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}\} \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_m)$.

It is known [12, Corollary 2.13] that the Cayley graphs $G_m = \text{Cay}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_m), S_m)$, for integers $m \geq 2$, form a family of 4-regular ε -expanders for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $n = 3$ and $S_0 = S_m \setminus \{b, b^{-1}\}$. Define $\hat{G}_m$ to be the graph on $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_m) \times (\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup \{\infty\})$ with the following adjacency relations: $(x, j) \sim (x, j \pm 1)$ (for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_3$); $(x, j) \sim (x', \ell)$ if and only if $x^{-1}x' \in S_m$, and either $j \neq \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \cup \{\infty\}$, or $j = \ell$ and $x^{-1}x' = b$ or b^{-1} . Then $\{G_m\}_{m \geq 2}$ is a family of 16-regular $\delta(3, 4, \varepsilon)$ -expander graphs, where $\delta(3, 4, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon + 16 - \sqrt{13\varepsilon^2 - 80\varepsilon + 16^2}$ by (3.1) and (3.2). $\square$

Similar to Theorem 3.2, we can construct new expanders from known ones by using edge corone. Given vertex-disjoint graphs G_1, G_2 with n_1, n_2 vertices and m_1, m_2 edges, respectively, the *edge corona* [10] $G_1 \diamond G_2$ of G_1 and G_2 is the graph obtained by taking one copy of G_1 and m_1 copies of G_2 , and joining two end-vertices of the i th edge of G_1 to every vertex in the i th copy of G_2 . It is proved in [10, Theorem 2.4] that, if G_1 is r_1 -regular (where $r_1 \geq 2$) and G_2 is r_2 -regular, then the L -spectrum of $G_1 \diamond G_2$ consists of: 2, repeated $m_1 - n_1$ times; $2 + \mu_i(G_2)$, repeated m_1

times, for each $i = 2, \dots, n_2$; and $\frac{1}{2} \left\{ r_1 n_2 + \mu_j(G_1) + 2 \pm \sqrt{(r_1 n_2 + \mu_j(G_1) + 2)^2 - 4(n_2 + 2)\mu_j(G_1)} \right\}$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$.

Define

$$g_{n,k}(x) = x + nk + 2 - \sqrt{(x + nk + 2)^2 - 4(n + 2)x}.$$

Similar to Lemma 3.1, using the above result for L -spectra of edge coroneae, one can prove that, if G is k -regular with m vertices and $H \neq K_n$ is r -regular with n vertices, then $a(G \diamond H) = g_{n,k}(a(G))/2$. Based on this we obtain the following result whose proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.2 and hence is omitted.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$ is a family of (non-complete) k -regular ε -expander graphs, where $k \geq 3$. Let $H \neq K_n$ be a fixed connected r -regular graph on n vertices. Let $G_i(H)$, $i \geq 1$, be any $(n + 1)k$ -regular graph obtained by adding $n((n + 1)k - r - 2)m_i/2$ edges to $G_i \diamond H$, where $m_i = |E(G_i)|$. Then $G_1(H), G_2(H), G_3(H), \dots$ is a family of $(g_{n,k}(\varepsilon)/2)$ -expander graphs of degree $(n + 1)k$.*

4 Concluding remarks

It is well known that the algebraic connectivity of a graph holds the key to understanding several important partition-related invariants such as the isoperimetric number, the bisection width, and so on. Lemma 3.1 can be used to bound such invariants for neighbourhood coroneae. For example, it is known [5, Corollary 7.5.3] that the *bisection width* $\text{bw}(G)$ of an n -vertex graph G is at least $na(G)/4$ if n is even and $(n^2 - 1)a(G)/4n$ if n is odd. (By definition, $\text{bw}(G) = \min\{|\partial S| : S \in V(G), |S| = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$, where ∂S is the set of edges of G between S and $V(G) \setminus S$.) From this and (3.4) it follows that, for G and H as in Lemma 3.1,

$$\text{bw}(G \star H) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{m(n+1)}{8} \cdot \min\{f_{n,k}(a(G)), f_{n,k}(2k)\}, & \text{if } m(n+1) \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{(m(n+1))^2 - 1}{8m(n+1)} \cdot \min\{f_{n,k}(a(G)), f_{n,k}(2k)\}, & \text{if } m(n+1) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, the *vertex expansion* (see e.g. [5]) of an n -vertex graph G is defined as $i^V(G) = \min\{|\delta(S)|/|S| : S \subset V(G), 1 \leq |S| \leq n/2\}$, where $\delta(S)$ is the set of vertices outside S that are adjacent to some vertices in S . It is known [5, Theorem 7.6.1] that $i^V(G) \geq 2a(G)/(\Delta + 2a(G))$ if G has maximum degree Δ . From this and (3.4) it follows that, for G and H as in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$i^V(G \star H) \geq \frac{\min\{f_{n,k}(a(G)), f_{n,k}(2k)\}}{(n + 1)k + \min\{f_{n,k}(a(G)), f_{n,k}(2k)\}}.$$

Acknowledgements X. Liu is supported by MIFRS and MIRS of the University of Melbourne. S. Zhou is supported by a Future Fellowship (FT110100629) of the Australian Research Council.

References

- [1] S. Barik, S. Pati, B. K. Sarma, The spectrum of the corona of two graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 24 (2007) 47–56.
- [2] A. E. Brouwer, W. H. Haemers, Spectra of Graphs, Springer, 2012.

- [3] S.-Y. Cui, G.-X. Tian, The spectrum and the signless Laplacian spectrum of coronae, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 437 (2012) 1692–1703.
- [4] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs - Theory and Applications*, Third edition, Johann Ambrosius Barth. Heidelberg, 1995.
- [5] D. M. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, H. Simić, *An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [6] G. Davidoff, P. Sarnak and A. Valette, *Elementary number theory, group theory and Ramanujan graphs*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [7] R. Frucht, F. Haray, On the corona of two graphs, *Aequationes Math.* 4 (1970) 322–325.
- [8] I. Gopalapillai, The spectrum of neighborhood corona of graphs, *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics* 35 (2011) 493–500.
- [9] S. Hoory, N. Linial, A. Wigderson, Expander graphs and their applications, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 43(4) (2006) 439–561.
- [10] Y.-P. Hou, W.-C. Shiu, The spectrum of the edge corona of two graphs, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra.* 20 (2010) 586–594.
- [11] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [12] A. Lubotzky, Expanding graphs in pure and applied mathematics, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 49 (2012) 113–162.
- [13] C. McLeman, E. McNicholas, Spectra of coronae, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 435 (2011) 998–1007.
- [14] B. Mohar, Isoperimetric number of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory (B)* 47 (1989) 274–291.
- [15] G. A. Margulis, Explicit constructions of expanders, *Problemy Peredači Informacii* 9(4) (1973) 71–80.
- [16] S.-L. Wang, B. Zhou, The signless Laplacian spectra of the corona and edge corona of two graphs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* (2012) 1–8, iFirst.
- [17] F.-Z. Zhang, *The Schur Complement and Its Applications*, Springer, 2005.